# $\begin{array}{ll} \displaystyle {\rm VNIVE}\, {\rm RSITAT} \\ \displaystyle {\rm I}\bar{\rm D} \tilde{\varphi}{\rm VAL}\bar{\rm ENCIA} \quad {\rm Master\,Universitario\,en\, Bioinformática} \end{array}$

∑imh∩

# **Introduction to Complex Networks**

**Sergi Valverde** Evolution of Networks Lab (ETL) Institute of Evolutionary Biology (CSIC-UPF) Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas Prolog **@svalver** Eulisp

Lisp-

Pasca

#### Computational Systems Biology





Bertrand Russell

*"A good notation has a subtletly and suggestiveness which at times make it seem almost like a live teacher ... and a perfect notation would be a substitute for thought"*

*quoted by Woodger (1937) The Axiomatic Method in Biology, pp. 18*



## *Can we find a good notation for biological complexity?*





Madsen et al. (2019) *Synthetic Biology Open Language (SBOL) v 2.3*

Angel Goñi



**Figure 3:** Main classes of information represented by the SBOL 2.x standard, and their relationships. Green boxes are "top level" classes, while the other classes are in support of these classes. Solid arrows indicates ownership, whereas a dashed arrow indicates that one class refers to an object of another class.

## **A Visual Language for Biology**

Valverde et al. (2002) *Scale-Free Networks from Optimal Design*



Alan Kay



#### Hierarchical Small-Worlds in Software Architecture







## **A Visual Language for Technology**



*"Knowing how something originated often is the* 

FLITH AND SOMETHING ORIGINATED OF THE BEST CLUB FOR THE BEST CLUB FOR THE BEST CLUB FOR THE BEST CLUB FOR THE

ΥÌ



- Terrence Deacon



## *Do life and non-life share the same basic architecture?*

## **Universality**





# **Basic Properties Robustness and Fragility** Hubs, Connectors and Paths **Evolution of Networks Community Structure**





## Adjacency Matrix



## Edge List

**Network Representation**

## https://svalver.github.io/course

**Introduction to Networks** 

## 42589 - Biologia de Sistemas Computacional

#### VNIVERSITAT đỡValència Máster Universitario en Bioinformática

This website contains a collection of online activities that are part of the curriculum for the Universitat de Valencia course "Biologia de Sistemas Computacional". These lessons can be used in combination Netlab, an online application designed to assist students to develop evolutionary models of complex networks.

Sergi Valverde, a CSIC tenured scientist from the Institute of Evolutionary Biology (CSIC-UPF), teaches the course.

#### **Online activities**

The following online activities require a WebGL compliant web browser.

- Defining a network (link): Input a simple network by hand and adjust its layout parameters.
- A Random Graph (link): When determining the relevance of network patterns, random graphs are utilized as null models. The Erdös-Renyi model generates random graphs with a fixed connection probability (p) and a











#### **Methods in Ecology and Evolution**

Methods in Ecology and Evolution 2016, 7, 127-132

doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12458

**APPLICATION** 

BiMat: a MATLAB package to facilitate the analysis of bipartite networks



## https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.16158

 $ct$  $\bar{\mathbf{C}}$  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ dis-nn] cond-mat  $\triangleright$  $\infty$  $\mathcal{L}$  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$  $\circ$  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$  $\overline{4}$  $\sim$ 

20

#### **Networks: The Visual Language of Complexity**

Blai Vidiella, Salva Duran-Nebreda and Sergi Valverde

Abstract Understanding the origins of complexity is a fundamental challenge with implications for biological and technological systems. Network theory emerges as a powerful tool to model complex systems. Networks are an intuitive framework to represent inter-dependencies among many system components, facilitating the study of both local and global properties. However, it is unclear whether we can define a universal theoretical framework for evolving networks. While basic growth mechanisms, like preferential attachment, recapitulate common properties such as the power-law degree distribution, they fall short in capturing other system-specific properties. Tinkering, on the other hand, has shown to be very successful in generating modular or nested structures 'for-free', highlighting the role of internal, non-adaptive mechanisms in the evolution of complexity. Different network extensions, like hypergraphs, have been recently developed to integrate exogenous factors in evolutionary models, as pairwise interactions are insufficient to capture environmentally-mediated species associations. As we confront global societal and climatic challenges, the study of network and hypergraphs provides valuable insights, emphasizing the importance of scientific exploration in understanding and managing complexity.

**Key words:** Networks; Evolution; Hypergraphs; Complex Systems; Tinkering

Contributed chapter to "Nonlinear Dynamics for Biological Systems", M. Stich, J. Carballido-Landeira (Eds), Springer, Switzerland, 2024



Blai Vidiella <sup>1</sup>: <sup>1</sup>Institute of Evolutionary Biology, CSIC-UPF, Pg. Barceloneta 37, Barcelona 08003, Spain. <sup>2</sup>Theoretical and Experimental Ecology Station, CNRS, Moulis, France. e-mail: blai.vidiella-rocamora@sete.cnrs.fr

Salva Duran-Nebreda  $\mathbf{D}$ : <sup>1</sup>Institute of Evolutionary Biology, CSIC-UPF, Pg. Barceloneta 37, Barcelona 08003, Spain. e-mail: salva.duran@ibe.upf-csic.es

Sergi Valverde<sup>D</sup>: <sup>1</sup>Institute of Evolutionary Biology, CSIC-UPF, Pg. Barceloneta 37, Barcelona 08003, Spain. <sup>3</sup>European Centre for Living Technology (ECLT), Ca' Bottacin, Dorsoduro 3911, 30123 - Venice, Italy. e-mail: s.valverde@csic.es

## **https://tinyurl.com/24e3n5tf**





*1. Explain how many bytes are needed to store this network using the adjacency list and the matrix representations.*

*2. Consider an alternative method for representing networks. Explain.*







## In-degree and Out-degree



#### Dominance hierarchies





# $i=1$

## **Number of Edges**





## **Local Clustering**





### **Motifs**













## **Motifs**









# Random Networks : *Robustness & Fragility*

[Kesten, Harry](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harry_Kesten) (1982), Percolation theory for mathematicians, Birkhauser









## **Percolation**





#### **Power outage after Hurricane Katrina hit the Gulf Coast**

This image was take Aug 30 and shows the widespread power outages across the Gulf Coast after Hurricane Katrina ravaged the area. U.S. Air Force Image.

## **Disconnected Phase**





**Power grid before the Hurricane Katrina hit the Gulf Coast** This image was taken Sept. 17,2003 and shows the city lights in the Gulf Coast clearly visible. U.S. Air Force Image.

## **Connected Phase**



## **Theorem (Kesten, 1980)**

In Bernoulli percolation with parameter *p* on the infinite square grid,

## if  $p \le 1/2$ , the  $P$ (infinite cluster) = 0,

and



#### if *p* > 1/2 then P(infinite cluster) = 1



#### **Randomness**





## *The simplest model of a network : everything is boring*





#### Paul Erdös (1913-1996)





## *p* = probability of connecting a pair of nodes

## *N* = number of nodes

## **Simulating Random Graphs**

*A static world w[it](http://svalver.github.io/netlab/exp7/exp7.html)hout geography*







## create (4)









## for each (a)













## **for each (a) for each (b)**

## random-float  $(1) < p$





# add\_edge(a, b)









# **for each (a) for each (b)**







# **for each (a) for each (b)**





## **Average degree**







## **Average degree**

 $\langle k \rangle$ <sub>rand</sub> = 2*L N*  $L = p$  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ *N*  $2$  ) = *p N*(*N* − 1) 2  $= p(N - 1)$ 







## **Degree Distribution**







## **Degree Distribution**

 $P(k) = p^k(1 - p)$ *N*−1−*k*











## *Discrete Binomial*

 $P(k) = (p^{lk}(\frac{1}{k} - p)^{N-1-k})$  $\mathcal{V}$ *N* − 1  $\vec{k}$  )




### *Poisson Distribution*







![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Connected**

# $Q = 1 - S =$  *Probability that the vertex i does not belong to the giant connected component*

**Disconnected** 

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_7.jpeg)

# $Q^k =$  *Probability that* **none** *of its k neighbours belongs to the giant connected component*

### **Disconnected**

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Connected**

![](_page_41_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

# $Q \equiv \langle Q \rangle = \sum$

### **Disconnected**

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

### $Q = \sum$ *k*≥0 *P*(*k*)*Q<sup>k</sup>*  $= e^{-z}$ ∑ *k*≥0 *zk k*!

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $1) S^* = 0$ 

### **Closed Form**

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Numerical Solution**

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

*S* = 1 − *e* −*zS*

- $z = 1.01$
- $z = 1.008$

 $z = 0.98$  $z = 1$ 

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
plt.figure(figsize=(8,6), dpi = 160)
x = range(500)for z in [0.98, 1, 1.008, 1.01]:
    y = []S = 0.01for i in x:
       S = 1 - np \exp(-z * S)y.append (S)
    plt.plot (x, y,label = "z=%0.03f"% z)
plt.xlabel ("Time", fontsize= 18)
plt.ylabel('S", fontsize = 18)plt. legend (fontsize = 18)plt.show()
```
![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Numerical Solution**

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
plt. figure (figsize=(8,6), dpi = 160)S_value = []z_values = [float(i)/40.0 for i in range(100)]for z in z_values:
    S = 0.01for j in range(500):
       S = 1 - np \exp(-z * S)S_values.append (S)
plt.xlabel ("z", fontsize= 18)
plt.ylabel ("S", fontsize = 18)
plt.plot (z_values, S_values)
plt.show()
```
![](_page_47_Picture_5.jpeg)

### *Random graphs do not display clustering*

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Clustering**

# $\langle C \rangle_{rand} = p$  $\langle C \rangle_{rand} = p =$ ⟨*k*⟩*rand* <u> $\frac{1}{N}$  − 1 *u*</u>

### **Clustering**

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_6.jpeg)

## *… but real-world graphs do!*

# $0.01 \leq \langle C \rangle_{\text{Facebook}} \leq 0.5$

### ⟨*C*⟩*rand* = ⟨*k*⟩ *N* − 1 = 103 109 ≈ 0.00000001

# https://tinyurl.com/3p9fxnsc

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

3. Can you predict the average degree before running the simulation?

4. Is it possible to obtain a node with a very large number of links?

![](_page_50_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Man-made objects can be geometrically complex and do not resemble *ideal forms such as points, lines, planes, cubes, circles of spheres.*

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

Sergi Valverde and Ricard V Solé

NETWORKS

'Cities need to change to survive. As living beings that are constantly replacing their cells, rebuilding their veins and arteries, and pumping energy and matter or producing waste, cities are also growing and evolving as they age.' Just how complex, though, are cities? Sergi Valverde and Ricard V Solé of the the ICREA-Complex Systems Lab at the Universital Pompeu Fabra in Barcelona look at how network theory and emergent dynamics might be bringing us closer to an overarching theory of urban organisation.

> Songi Valvardo, Skolotar framo $d$ a virtual skysorspor, GAEA-Compiux Symmus Lab, Universitat Pempeu Fabra, Barcelona, 2013 the skeedon of a building forms a uniform grid of kosizuntal layers. This highly regular organisation is the fingerprint of clearly and consocius planning.

![](_page_51_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Growth: City Networks**

# **Evolution of Technology**

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

### The Evolution of Technology

George Basalla

 $O$  $Q$  $O O O G G$ 

![](_page_52_Picture_5.jpeg)

**Cambridge History of Science Series** 

![](_page_52_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Growth: Patent Networks**

(Price, 1965) & (Price, 1976)

*Number of Citations*

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Growth: Preferential Attachment**

### **Cumulative degree distribution**

 $P_{>k} =$ ∞ ∑  $k'$   $\equiv$   $k$ *P*(*k*′)  $P_{>k} = U$ ∞ *j* <sup>−</sup>*<sup>γ</sup>* <sup>≈</sup> *<sup>U</sup>*<sup>∫</sup>

∑

*j*=*k*

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Activity: Preferential Attachment**

*5. How many nodes are "hubs"?*

*7. Does some low k node ever become a hub? How often?*

*6. How many nodes have only a few links?*

![](_page_56_Picture_10.jpeg)

*How history and reinforcement influence network architecture?*

Distance Theta Charge, Strength Gravity Friction

Size: Degree

 $|P(k)|$ 

# **https://tinyurl.com/3ttchcep**

![](_page_56_Picture_102.jpeg)

### **Network Robustness: Internet**

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

Paul Baran presents his work at a RAND Alumni Association event on July 25, 2009

### **Network Robustness: Scale-Free** *vs* **Random**

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

**"Error and attack tolerance of complex networks"**  R. Albert, H. Jeong & L-A Barabási *Nature* **406** (2000) 378-382

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

*8. If you wanted to shut down the network, how many nodes would you have to take out?*

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Activity: Robustness & Directed Attacks**

# **https://tinyurl.com/3jkubj8j**

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

### *9. Are collapses quick or gradual?*

*10. Can you predict the breaking point? Is this network fragile or robust? Why?*

![](_page_59_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Network Efficiency: Hubs, Connectors & Paths

- Path Length
- Power of Matrices
- Geodesic Path
- Diameter
- Components
- Global Efficiency **v1**

![](_page_61_Figure_7.jpeg)

### **Path Length**

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

*Click on a pair of nodes to see the shortest path connecting them.*

## **https://tinyurl.com/587wsvwj**

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)

Global Efficiency: 0.190

*Click the 'Failure' button repeatedly to remove nodes at random.*

*Describe the dynamical evolution of the shortest path under random failures.*

![](_page_62_Picture_9.jpeg)

**Length** of a path is the number of edges traversed along a path (not the nodes).

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$
A^2 = AA
$$

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Number of paths of given length

### Number of paths of length 2:

$$
N_{ij}^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{ik} A_{kj} = [A^2]_{ij}
$$

### Number of paths of length 3:

### Number of paths of length *r* :

$$
N_{ij}^{(3)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} A_{ik} A_{kl} A_{lj} = [A^{3}]_{ij}
$$

$$
N_{ij}^{(r)} = [A^r]_{ij}
$$

### **Network Distance**

A geodesic path (or **shortest path**) is a path through a network between two vertices such that no shortest path exists.

The **shortest path distance** is the length of the shortest path, i.e., the smallest value of *r* such that:

$$
[A^r]_{ij} > 0
$$

In practice, there are more efficient ways of calculating shortest distances in a graph (e.g., **Dijkstra's Algorithm**).

![](_page_66_Picture_5.jpeg)

Edsger W. Dijkstra (1930-2002) Turing Award (1972)

### **Network Distance**

*i*

*j*

 $d_{jk} = \infty$ 

 $d_{ij} \geq$ 

*k*

**Connected Components**

![](_page_67_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Block diagonal form

![](_page_67_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Network Distance**

*Is your Network Large or Small?*

![](_page_68_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Network Distance**

![](_page_68_Picture_2.jpeg)

Stanley Milgram (1967)

![](_page_68_Picture_6.jpeg)

Brain of a worm (*C. Elegans*)

Electronic Circuits

![](_page_69_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_9.jpeg)

Power grids

![](_page_69_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_15.jpeg)

### Linguistic Networks

![](_page_69_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Between Order and Randomness**

![](_page_69_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Average Path Length**

![](_page_70_Picture_1.jpeg)

# $log(N) = d log(z)$

![](_page_70_Figure_3.jpeg)

*11. Which shortcuts reduce the average distance ?*

![](_page_71_Picture_5.jpeg)

*12. After completing 10 experiments, plot the (shortcuts, mean path length) curve. Can the distinction between good and poor networks be made?*

![](_page_71_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **<https://tinyurl.com/yv5u4kpu>**

![](_page_71_Figure_2.jpeg)
**Time**



#### *By defining a few long-distance links, diffusion may be accelerated*





#### **Diffusion Processes**

#### Small-World Lattice

### **Structure-Function Relationship**



#### **Can you control an epidemic?**

Take action to prevent the spread of illness in various urban settings. After a small amount of vaccinations have been distributed, the epidemic continues to spread, and the players must act quickly to isolate everybody who could be sick.



NOTE: This game was designed in 2017.



# **https://tinyurl.com/c42yx3pc**



# Modularity *Evolution & Tinkering*



Network Adjacency Matrix

Modularity quantifies the degree to which nodes are grouped together and dependent on one another.

### **Definition**

Newman & Girvan **Phys Rev E** 69, 026113 (2004)







*How species coexist in a competitive world?*

 $U_3$  $U<sub>2</sub>$  $U<sub>1</sub>$ 



# (1) Divide up the network (2) Calculate the modularity value (Q) (3) Repeat until a solution is optimised

### (1) Divide up the network



#### (2) Calculate the **modularity** value (Q)



of links in group - **Expected** fraction of links in group

#### For each of the modules

Number of links in the network



Girvan and Newman **PNAS** 99:7821 (2002)



### (2) Calculate the **modularity** value (Q)







ANTI-MODULAR







RANDOM

MODULAR

### Example (1/2)



$$
Q = \sum_{s=1}^{N_m} \left[ \frac{l_s}{L} - \left(\frac{d_s}{2L}\right)^2 \right]
$$
  

$$
Q_{s_1} = \frac{1}{7} - \left(\frac{4}{14}\right)^2 = 0.06
$$
  

$$
Q_{s_2} = \frac{4}{7} - \left(\frac{10}{14}\right)^2 = 0.06
$$
  

$$
Q = Q_{s_1} + Q_{s_2} = 0.12
$$

### Example (2/2)



$$
Q = \sum_{s=1}^{N_m} \left[ \frac{l_s}{L} - \left(\frac{d_s}{2L}\right)^2 \right]
$$
  

$$
Q_{s_1} = \frac{3}{7} - \left(\frac{7}{14}\right)^2 = 0.18
$$

 $Q_{s_2}=Q_{s_1}=0.18$ 

 $Q = Q_{s_1} + Q_{s_2} = 0.36 > 0.12$ 

#### **Random Modular Networks**



*14. Which network has more linkages, RMG (p,q) or RMG (q,p)? Which one is more modular? Why?*

Distance Theta Charge Strength Gravity Friction

 $+$  Size Modules  $P(intra)$  $P(inter)$ 

GCC

# **<https://tinyurl.com/4a7syzuk>**



*13. Can you use this model to generate a random graph? How?*



#### Understanding the contributions of multiples forces in the evolutionary origins of *modularity*



connection cost  $(P&CC)$ 

**variation** 

hierarchical, functionally

modular networks

### **Evolution of Modularity**

### **Diversity from Structural Rules Diversity from Structural Rules**



**TRENDS in Ecology & Evolution** 

Valverde and Solé, **Physical Review E** (2005) Solé and Valverde, **Trends Eco Evol** (2006)



Stephen Jay Gould



Richard Lewontin

### **Tinkered Evolution of Networks**



#### Evolving complexity: how tinkering shapes cells, software and ecological networks

Ricard Solé<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> and Sergi Valverde<sup>4,5</sup>



### **Morphospaces**





### **Degeneracy & Determinism**





#### **Determinism**









**Degeneracy**







#### **Effective Information**

*EI = Degeneracy - Determinism*

 $EI = log_2(N) - H\left(\left\langle W_i^{in} \right\rangle\right) - log_2(N) + \left\langle H\left(W_i^{out}\right)\right\rangle$ 







#### **Effective Information**



 $EI = -H\left(\left\langle W_i^{in}\right\rangle\right) + \left\langle H\left(W_i^{out}\right)\right\rangle$ *EI = Degeneracy - Determinism*

*16) Can you adjust model parameters to cross the diagonal? Why / Why not?*







# **<https://tinyurl.com/5cvjz42b>**



*15) Explore how different networks are positioned within this morphospace. Rank them according to filled morphospace.*

#### Networks are the language of complexity.



- 
- Many real systems are close to the percolation transition.
	-
- Structure evidences multiple evolutionary mechanisms.
	-

Complexity emerges from simplicity.

Tradeoffs between robustness & efficiency.



### **"The future cannot be predicted, but futures can be invented"**

*–Dennis Gabor (Hungarian physicist)*